



PO Box 6868, San Carlos, CA 94070-6868

November 16, 2012

To: The San Rafael City Council

Subject: Airport Sports Development

The California Pilots Association (CALPILOTS) mission is to promote and preserve the State's airports. We actively promote safety at all levels of aviation activity including safety related to land use planning.

We are stunned that the application for the San Rafael Airport Recreational Facility, catering to scores of children in open playing fields or in a building unreinforced against major impact, located extremely close (160 feet - 350 feet) to the San Rafael Airport runway, is being given serious consideration by the City of San Rafael.

The letter sent to Mr. Kraig Tamborini of the Planning Division from Mr. Ron Bolyard of the California Division of Aeronautics (March 9, 2012) strongly recommended **prohibiting** this project on the San Rafael Airport, in the proposed location. We fully support this prohibition based on the California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook (CALUPH) recognizing the number of incidents which occur in zones 2 and 5 (for all airports into which zones this development falls) and the duty of a governing body to give extra protection to children and for the following reasons:

1. Examination of the plans for the project shows the location only barely meets and sometimes even violates the very minimum of standards considered safe for a project which would normally not include this vulnerable group of our population.
2. Historically, the record of many of the "incidents" located in safety zones 2 and 5 (CALUPH), would have been of greater magnitude had the hazards of the proposed structure, parking lot, vehicles and light standards been located similar to those proposed by the applicant for the San Rafael Airport Recreational Facility. These "incidents" were not included in the calculations used in the California Airport Landing Use Planning Handbook. If they had been, the 27% of all aircraft "accidents", a considerable percentage in itself, which do occur in these sensitive zones, would have been much higher!
3. The present San Rafael Airport runway is restricted by urban areas, rising high terrain, and a narrow short runway. Operations are compromised by downwind departures (encouraged by the operator and the city), the airport's lack of some safety features for the runway, a taxiway, a clear area at the north-east end of the runway, etc. All these factors increase the value of the **safety factor of open space provided by the area on which this project would sit.**

4. The proposed project would **increase the risk for an accident** because of wind disturbance from the proposed large structure, convective currents from the parking lot, glare from windshields of vehicles, the obstructions of the building and the light standards, headlights from moving vehicles and the outdoor lighting increasing confusion at night, even the multiple vehicles and people moving in extremely close proximity to the runway necessarily lead to distraction and greater challenges to pilots, increasing the chance for error in judgment and control.

5. The FAA and NTSB records, easily accessible by your planners, list scores of tragic aircraft accidents which have occurred directly in zones 2 and 5 of airports similar to the San Rafael Airport. To place children in these zones of proven risk, as proposed by this project, is unthinkable.

Despite the seductive appeal of the merits of the project, we encourage you to reject it as a violation of your duty to protect children from this documented risk.

Respectfully submitted,



Carol Ford  
Vice-President - California Pilots Association  
[carol\\_ford@sbcglobal.net](mailto:carol_ford@sbcglobal.net)  
650 591 8308